

## Appendix H:

### *Potential Chlorpyrifos-oxon Generation Sub-Study*

**Documents:**

Study of Potential Oxon Formation during Chlorpyrifos Air Sampling. Study Plan February 20, 2009. [laboratory study]

Table H1. Transformation of Chlorpyrifos to Chlorpyrifos Oxon on XAD-2 Resin during Air Sampling

Quality Control Study of Potential Oxon Formation during Chlorpyrifos Air Sampling. Study Summary June 22, 2009. [Field Study]

Table H2. Potential Generation of CPF-oxon Field Study



## ORGANOPHOSPHORUS PESTICIDE AIR MONITORING PROJECT

### Study of Potential Oxon Formation During Chlorpyrifos Air Sampling

As a part of the quality control component of this study, the University of Washington will conduct a study to determine whether chlorpyrifos-oxon is formed from chlorpyrifos in OVS tubes during air sampling. These are the same sample tubes that were used for field sampling in the air monitoring project. This quality control study will be conducted in DEOHS laboratories at the University of Washington.

#### Study Plan

OVS sample tubes will be spiked with known amounts of chlorpyrifos. The tubes will then be attached to calibrated air sampling pumps, and air will be drawn through the tubes at several different flow rates, in each case for 24 hours. The spiking levels and flow rates will be representative of field conditions and findings from the air monitoring project.

**Spiking levels and flow rates.** Three sets of samples will be prepared for this experiment:

1. Three tubes will be spiked with 50 nanograms of chlorpyrifos. This loading represents approximately the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile value of what was measured in the air monitoring project receptor samples. The flow rate for these samples will be 2 liters per minute, the same flow rate used for receptor sampling in the field. Duration will be 24 hours
2. Three tubes will be spiked with 200 nanograms of chlorpyrifos. This loading represents approximately the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile value of what was measured in the air monitoring project receptor samples. The flow rate for these samples will be 2 liters per minute, the same flow rate used for receptor sampling in the field. Duration will be 24 hours
3. Three tubes will be spiked with 2,000 nanograms of chlorpyrifos. This loading represents approximately the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile value of what was measured in the air monitoring project perimeter samples. The flow rate for these samples will be 6 liters per minute, the same flow rate used for perimeter sampling in the field. Duration will be 24 hours.

**Quality control OVS tubes.** Three tubes will be spiked with chlorpyrifos (one at each of the levels indicated above), but will not be attached to air sampling pumps. One unspiked tube will be attached to an air sampling pump with a flow rate of 2 liters per minute. A second unspiked tube will be attached to an air sampling pump with a flow rate of 6 liters per minute. Duration will be 24 hours in each case.

**Analytical Method.** The UW Environmental Health Laboratory has developed an LC-MS-MS method for analysis of chlorpyrifos and chlorpyrifos-oxon, and reports a limit of quantification of 2 nanograms per sample for each analyte. The front and back section of each tube will analyzed for both compounds for a total of 28 analyses.

Sample Type	Number	Spike Level (ng)	Flow Rate (24 hours)
Receptor: median loading	3	50	2 liters per minute
Receptor: high loading	3	200	2 liters per minute
Perimeter: high loading	3	2000	6 liters per minute
QC: spiked OVS tube	1	50	none (control)
QC: spiked OVS tube	1	200	none (control)
QC: spiked OVS tube	1	2000	none (control)
QC: blank OVS tube	1	0 (control)	2 liters per minute
QC: blank OVS tube	1	0 (control)	6 liters per minute
<b>Total for analysis</b>	<b>14</b>		

Table H1. Transformation of Chlorpyrifos to Chlorpyrifos-oxon on XAD-2 Resin during Air Sampling

Id Number	Nominal Flow rate lpm	Spike Mass ng	Sampling Parameters					Recovery mass					Air Concentration (ng/m <sup>3</sup> )							
			Start Date & Time	Stop Date & Time	Flow rate lpm	Duration min	Volume m <sup>3</sup>	CPF ng	CPF-oxon ng	Oxon <sup>a</sup> Equiv ng	CPF Total ng	CPF-Oxon fraction (%)	Recovery (%)	CPF	CPF-oxon	Oxon Equiv <sup>a</sup>	CPF Total	CPF-oxon fraction (%)	Fraction recovery at 2 lpm (%)	
<b>Receptor - 2.0 lpm</b>																				
<b>42 ng</b>																				
AM9OX0203RLa_9001	2.00	42	3/2/2009 8:55	3/3/2009 8:55	2.03	1440	2.92	21	11	11.5	32.5	35.4	77.4	7.2	3.8	4.0	11.2	35.4	33.8	
AM9OX0203RLb_9002	2.00	42	3/2/2009 8:57	3/3/2009 8:57	2.02	1440	2.91	20	10	10.5	30.5	34.4	72.6	6.9	3.4	3.6	10.5	34.4	32.8	
AM9OX0203RLc_9003	2.00	42	3/2/2009 8:59	3/3/2009 8:59	2.01	1440	2.90	25	8	8.4	33.4	25.1	79.5	8.6	2.8	2.9	11.5	25.1	24.0	
											<b>Mean</b>	<b>32.1</b>	<b>31.6</b>	<b>76.5</b>	<b>Mean</b>		<b>3.5</b>	<b>11.1</b>	<b>31.6</b>	
											<b>Std Dev</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>Std Dev</b>		<b>0.5</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>5.7</b>	
											<b>RSD (%)</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>18.0</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>RSD (%)</b>		<b>15.5</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>18.0</b>	
<b>Receptor - 2.0 lpm</b>																				
<b>210 ng</b>																				
AM9OX0203RHa_9004	2.00	210	3/2/2009 9:03	3/3/2009 9:03	2.02	1440	2.92	133	45	47.2	180.2	26.2	85.8	45.6	15.4	16.2	61.8	26.2	25.0	
AM9OX0203RHb_9005	2.00	210	3/2/2009 9:05	3/3/2009 9:05	2.01	1440	2.90	131	31	32.5	163.5	19.9	77.9	45.1	10.7	11.2	56.3	19.9	19.0	
AM9OX0203RHc_9006	2.00	210	3/2/2009 9:07	3/3/2009 9:07	2.03	1440	2.93	120	37	38.8	158.8	24.4	75.6	41.0	12.6	13.3	54.3	24.4	23.3	
											<b>Mean</b>	<b>167.5</b>	<b>23.5</b>	<b>79.8</b>	<b>Mean</b>		<b>13.5</b>	<b>57.5</b>	<b>23.5</b>	<b>26.3</b>
											<b>Std Dev</b>	<b>11.2</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>Std Dev</b>		<b>2.5</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>5.8</b>
											<b>RSD (%)</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>13.9</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>RSD (%)</b>		<b>18.5</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>13.9</b>	<b>22.0</b>
<b>blank - 2 lpm</b>																				
<b>0 ng</b>																				
AM9OX0203RBa_9007	2.00	0	3/2/2009 9:10	3/3/2009 9:10	2.02	1440	2.91	<1	<1		<1			<1	<1		<1			
<b>Perimeter 6.0 lpm</b>																				
<b>2100 ng</b>																				
AM9OX0203PVa_9008	6.00	2100	3/2/2009 9:12	3/3/2009 9:12	5.77	1440	8.31	1456	261	273.5	1729.5	15.8	82.4	175.2	31.4	32.9	208.2	15.1		
AM9OX0203PVb_9009	6.00	2100	3/2/2009 9:16	3/3/2009 9:16	5.62	1440	8.10	1177	332	347.9	1524.9	22.8	72.6	145.4	41.0	43.0	188.3	21.8		
AM9OX0203PVc_9010	6.00	2100	3/2/2009 9:18	3/3/2009 9:17	5.81	1439	8.36	1679	175	183.4	1862.4	9.8	88.7	200.9	20.9	21.9	222.9	9.4		
												8.25			<b>Mean</b>		<b>32.6</b>	<b>206.5</b>	<b>15.4</b>	
											<b>Std Dev</b>	<b>170.0</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>Std Dev</b>		<b>10.5</b>	<b>17.3</b>	<b>6.2</b>	
											<b>RSD (%)</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>40.2</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>RSD (%)</b>		<b>32.2</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>40.2</b>	
<b>blank = 0 ng</b>																				
AM9OX0203PBa_9011	6.00	0	3/2/2009 9:22	3/3/2009 9:22	5.82	1440	8.39	<1	<1		<1			<1	<1		<1			
<b>QC<sup>b</sup></b>																				
<b>spike = 42 ng</b>																				
AM9OX0203QLa_9012	0.00	42						37	<1		37		88							
<b>spike = 210 ng</b>																				
AM9OX0203QHa_9013	0.00	210						191	<1		191		91							
<b>spike = 2100 ng</b>																				
AM9OX0203QVa_9014	0.00	2100						1912	<1		1912		91							
											<b>Mean</b>	<b>90</b>								
											<b>Std Dev</b>	<b>1.7</b>								
											<b>RSD (%)</b>	<b>1.9</b>								
<b>blank = 0 ng</b>																				
AM9OX0203QBa_9015	0.00	0						<1	<1		<1									
AM9OX0203QBb_9016	0.00	0						<1	<1		<1									

<sup>a</sup>Oxon Equiv = CPF-oxon mass expressed as the molar equivalent of CPF. CPF-oxon equiv (ng) = [CPF-oxon ng] \* Molecular Weight CPF/molecular weight CPF-oxon

<sup>b</sup>QC samples tubes (without air) were left on the lab counter next to the pumps during sampling period. Room temperature = 20C. Otherwise they were stored at -20C.



## **ORGANOPHOSPHORUS PESTICIDE AIR MONITORING PROJECT**

### **Quality Control Study of Potential Oxon Formation during Chlorpyrifos Air Sampling**

In addition to the laboratory based quality control study conducted in February 2009, the University of Washington conducted a field-based study to determine the amount of chlorpyrifos-oxon generation during field air sampling methods using OVS XAD-2 resin tubes. The outdoor field methods are representative of the 2008 Organophosphate Pesticide Air Monitoring Project. Flow rates and sample generations were run for a continuous, 24 hour sample time period. Unlike previous laboratory and field tests, this experiment is unique because the sampling is conducted in the field using spiked sampling tubes containing different known amounts of chlorpyrifos as experimental controls.

#### **Study Plan**

OVS sample tubes were spiked with different known amount of chlorpyrifos. The tubes were attached to calibrated air sampling pumps. Volumes were collected for two sample conditions for 24 hours: at 2 LPM to receptor sampling conditions and at 6 LPM to represent perimeter sampling conditions. The spiked levels were determined relative to the amount of Total Chlorpyrifos found in the 2008 Air Monitoring study and corrected for an approximate 75% recovery rate found in the 2009 laboratory based oxon study.

**Spiking levels and flowrates.** Eight sets of samples were prepared for this sub study.

1. Three tubes were spiked with 15 nanograms of chlorpyrifos. This loading represents approximately the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile of what was measured in the Air Monitoring project receptor samples. The flowrates for these samples were 2 liters per minute, the same flowrate used for receptor sampling in the field. Duration was 24 hours.
2. Three tubes were spiked with 30 nanograms of chlorpyrifos. This loading represents approximately the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile of what was measured in the Air Monitoring project receptor samples. The flowrates for these samples were 2 liters per minute, the same flowrate used for receptor sampling in the field. Duration was 24 hours.
3. Three tubes were spiked with 60 nanograms of chlorpyrifos. This loading represents approximately the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile of what was measured in the Air Monitoring project receptor samples. The flowrates for these samples were 2 liters per minute, the same flowrate used for receptor sampling in the field. Duration was 24 hours.
4. Three tubes were spiked with 200 nanograms of chlorpyrifos. This loading represents approximately the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of what was measured in the Air Monitoring project receptor samples. The flowrates for these samples were 2 liters per minute, the same flowrate used for receptor sampling in the field. Duration was 24 hours.
5. Three tubes were spiked with 200 nanograms of chlorpyrifos. This loading represents approximately the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile of what was measured in the Air Monitoring project

perimeter samples. The flowrates for these samples were 6 liters per minute, the same flowrate used for receptor sampling in the field. Duration was 24 hours.

6. Three tubes were spiked with 592 nanograms of chlorpyrifos. This loading represents approximately the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile of what was measured in the Air Monitoring project perimeter samples. The flowrates for these samples were 6 liters per minute, the same flowrate used for receptor sampling in the field. Duration was 24 hours.
7. Three tubes were spiked with 2628 nanograms of chlorpyrifos. This loading represents approximately the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of what was measured in the Air Monitoring project perimeter samples. The flowrates for these samples were 6 liters per minute, the same flowrate used for receptor sampling in the field. Duration was 24 hours.
8. Three tubes were not spiked with chlorpyrifos but were attached to air sampling pumps. These samples were used to determine the background level of chlorpyrifos. The flowrates for these samples were 2 liters per minute, the same flowrate used for receptor sampling in the field. Duration was 24 hours.

**Quality control OVS tubes.** Three sets of tubes were trip blanks. One set of the trip blanks were unspiked, second set were spiked with 30 nanograms of chlorpyrifos, and the last set were spiked with 592 nanograms of chlorpyrifos. All three sets of trip blanks were closed tubes and not attached to air sampling pumps. Another three sets of tubes were field blanks. These samples contained one set that were unspiked, a second set spiked with 30 nanograms of chlorpyrifos, and the third set spiked with 592 nanograms of chlorpyrifos. All three sets of field blanks were uncapped and hung for 24 hours on the sampling masts.

**Analytical Method.** The UW Environmental Health Laboratory developed an LC-MS-MS method for analysis of chlorpyrifos and chlorpyrifos-oxon, and reports a limit of quantification of 2 nanograms per sample for each analyte. The front and back section for each tube were analyzed for both compounds.

Sample Type	Number of Tubes	Spiked amount CPF (ng)	Flowrate (24 hours)
Receptor: 25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	3	15	2 liters per minute
Receptor: 50 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	3	30	2 liters per minute
Receptor: 75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	3	60	2 liters per minute
Receptor: 90 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	3	200	2 liters per minute
Perimeter: 10 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	3	200	6 liters per minutes
Perimeter: 50 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	3	592	6 liters per minutes
Perimeter: 90 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	3	2628	6 liters per minutes
Background	3	0	2 liters per minute
Trip blank, Closed Tube (control)	3	0	None
Trip blank, Closed Tube	3	30	None

Sample Type	Number of Tubes	Spiked amount CPF (ng)	Flowrate (24 hours)
Trip blank, Closed Tube	3	592	None
Field Blank, Uncapped Open Tube (control)	3	0	None
Field Blank, Uncapped Open Tube	3	30	None
Field Blank ,Uncapped Open Tube	3	592	None

**Results.** Samples were analyzed for chlorpyrifos and its oxon. Refer to Table H2 for full results table. Percent (%) recoveries were generated for spike samples and ranged from 92% to >100% for spiked receptors, 84 to >100% for spiked perimeters, and 73% to 91% for spiked quality control samples. Recovery percentage increased at lower chlorpyrifos spiking levels. No trip blanks, unspiked, or 30 ng spiked tubes converted to oxon in the tubes without air drawn through them. Only a small portion (< 1%) was converted into oxon at the highest spiking level (592 ng). Instead, it was assumed that most oxon-conversion created in this experiment was a direct result of pulling air through the tubes.

The studies demonstrate that the oxygen analog could be produced by the air sampling process, with an inverse relationship between percent oxon produced and spiking level. For example, at a two liter per minute flow rate the oxon fraction averaged 25% +/- 8% at the lowest spike level (15 ng), but was only 12% +/- 3% at the highest level (200 ng). At the 6 liter per minute flow rate the oxon fraction averaged 19% +/-2% at the lowest spiked level (200ng) and only 7% +/- 2% at the highest spiked level (2628 ng).

The results from the field-based quality control study suggest that there is still a portion of oxygen analog in the samples that was not an artifact of sampling or generated in the tubes. These findings call for additional research to be able to fully characterize the exposures of organophosphorus pesticide air contaminants and oxon analogs in these regions.



**Table H2: Potential Generation of CPF-oxon Field Study**

ID Number	Spike Mass ng	Sampling Parameters						Recovery Mass						Air Concentration (ng/m <sup>3</sup> )					
		Start Date & Time	Stop Date & Time	TWA Flow rate lpm	Duration min	Volume m <sup>3</sup>	CPF ng	CPF-oxon ng	Oxon <sup>a</sup> Equiv ng	CPF Total ng	CPF-Oxon fraction (%)	Recovery (%)	CPF	CPF-oxon	Oxon Equiv <sup>a</sup>	CPF Total	CPF-oxon fraction (%)		
<b>Receptor - 2.0 lpm</b>																			
9101	0	4/16/09 11:59	4/17/09 11:59	1.93	1440	2.77	21	6	6.29	27.29	23.04		7.57	2.16	2.27	9.84	23.04		
9102 <sup>2</sup>	0	4/16/09 11:54	4/17/09 11:55	1.98	1441	2.85	na	na	na	na	na		na	na	na	na	na		
9103	0	4/16/09 12:01	4/17/09 12:02	1.94	1441	2.80	12	7	7.34	19.34	37.94		4.29	2.50	2.62	6.92	37.94		
									<b>Mean</b>	23.31	30.49			<b>Mean</b>	2.45	8.38	30.49		
									<b>Std Dev</b>	5.62	10.53			<b>Std Dev</b>	0.13	1.03	5.27		
									<b>CV</b>	0.24	0.35			<b>CV</b>	0.05	0.12	0.17		
9104	15	4/16/09 11:19	4/17/09 11:20	1.90	1441	2.74	21	10	10.48	31.48	33.29	209.87	7.65	3.64	3.82	11.47	33.29		
9105	15	4/16/09 11:22	4/17/09 11:22	1.22	1440	1.75	31	7	7.34	38.34	19.14	255.57	17.68	3.99	4.18	21.86	19.14		
9106	15	4/16/09 11:24	4/17/09 11:24	2.30	1440	3.32	27	7	7.34	34.34	21.37	228.91	8.13	2.11	2.21	10.35	21.37		
									<b>Mean</b>	34.72	24.60	231.45		<b>Mean</b>	3.40	14.56	24.60		
									<b>Std Dev</b>	3.44	7.61	22.96		<b>Std Dev</b>	1.05	6.35	7.61		
									<b>CV</b>	0.10	0.31	0.10		<b>CV</b>	0.31	0.44	0.31		
9107 <sup>2</sup>	30	4/16/09 11:43	4/17/09 11:43	1.99	1440	2.87	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na		
9108	30	4/16/09 11:49	4/17/09 11:49	2.14	1440	3.08	34	10	10.48	44.48	23.56	148.27	11.03	3.25	3.40	14.43	23.56		
9109	30	4/16/09 11:46	4/17/09 11:50	2.01	1444	2.90	36	7	7.34	43.34	16.93	144.45	12.42	2.42	2.53	14.95	16.93		
									<b>Mean</b>	43.91	20.25	146.36		<b>Mean</b>	2.97	14.69	20.25		
									<b>Std Dev</b>	0.81	4.69	2.70		<b>Std Dev</b>	0.61	0.37	4.69		
									<b>CV</b>	0.02	0.23	0.02		<b>CV</b>	0.21	0.03	0.23		
9110	60	4/16/09 11:27	4/17/09 11:27	2.03	1440	2.92	69	10	10.48	79.48	13.19	132.47	23.59	3.42	3.58	27.17	13.19		
9111	60	4/16/09 11:30	4/17/09 11:30	1.97	1440	2.84	67	11	11.53	78.53	14.68	130.88	23.61	3.88	4.06	27.68	14.68		
9112	60	4/16/09 11:32	4/17/09 11:32	1.95	1440	2.80	68	10	10.48	78.48	13.35	130.80	24.27	3.57	3.74	28.01	13.35		
									<b>Mean</b>	78.83	13.74	131.38		<b>Mean</b>	3.80	27.62	13.74		
									<b>Std Dev</b>	0.56	0.82	0.94		<b>Std Dev</b>	0.24	0.42	0.82		
									<b>CV</b>	0.01	0.06	0.01		<b>CV</b>	0.06	0.02	0.06		
9113	200	4/16/09 11:36	4/17/09 11:36	2.32	1440	3.35	184	18	18.86	202.86	9.30	101.43	54.97	5.38	5.64	60.60	9.30		
9114	200	4/16/09 11:38	4/17/09 11:38	1.97	1440	2.84	156	26	27.25	183.25	14.87	91.62	55.01	9.17	9.61	64.62	14.87		
9115	200	4/16/09 11:40	4/17/09 11:40	2.25	1440	3.25	172	20	20.96	192.96	10.86	96.48	53.00	6.16	6.46	59.46	10.86		
									<b>Mean</b>	193.02	11.68	96.51		<b>Mean</b>	7.23	61.56	11.68		
									<b>Std Dev</b>	9.81	2.87	4.90		<b>Std Dev</b>	2.10	2.71	2.87		
									<b>CV</b>	0.05	0.25	0.05		<b>CV</b>	0.29	0.04	0.25		

**Table H2. Potential Generation of CPF-oxon Field Study (cont.)**

ID Number	Spike Mass ng	Sampling Parameters						Recovery Mass						Air Concentration (ng/m <sup>3</sup> )				
		Start Date & Time	Stop Date & Time	TWA Flow rate lpm	Duration min	Volume m <sup>3</sup>	CPF ng	CPF-oxon ng	Oxon <sup>a</sup> Equiv ng	CPF Total ng	CPF-Oxon fraction (%)	Recovery (%)	CPF	CPF-oxon	Oxon Equiv <sup>a</sup>	CPF Total	CPF-oxon fraction (%)	
<b>Perimeter - 6.0 lpm</b>																		
9117	0	4/16/09 12:39	4/17/09 12:39	5.87	1440	8.45	46	18	18.86	64.86	29.08			5.44	2.13	2.23	7.67	29.08
9116	0	4/16/09 12:46	4/17/09 12:46	6.95	1440	10.01	53	21	22.01	75.01	29.34			5.29	2.10	2.20	7.49	29.34
9118	0	4/16/09 12:46	4/17/09 12:46	6.18	1440	8.90	51	21	22.01	73.01	30.15			5.73	2.36	2.47	8.20	30.15
									<b>Mean</b>	70.96	29.52				<b>Mean</b>	2.30	7.79	29.52
									<b>Std Dev</b>	5.37	0.55				<b>Std Dev</b>	0.15	0.37	0.55
									<b>CV</b>	0.08	0.02				<b>CV</b>	0.07	0.05	0.02
9119	200	4/16/09 12:33	4/17/09 12:34	6.64	1441	9.57	205	44	46.11	251.11	18.36	125.56	21.43	4.60	4.82	26.25	18.36	
9120	200	4/16/09 12:33	4/17/09 12:34	6.09	1441	8.78	207	41	42.97	249.97	17.19	124.98	23.58	4.67	4.89	28.47	17.19	
9121	200	4/16/09 12:39	4/17/09 12:39	7.68	1440	11.05	193	49	51.35	244.35	21.02	122.18	17.46	4.43	4.65	22.11	21.02	
									<b>Mean</b>	248.48	18.86	124.24		<b>Mean</b>	4.79	25.61	18.86	
									<b>Std Dev</b>	3.62	1.96	1.81		<b>Std Dev</b>	0.13	3.23	1.96	
									<b>CV</b>	0.01	0.10	0.01		<b>CV</b>	0.03	0.13	0.10	
9123	592	4/16/09 12:23	4/17/09 12:23	5.94	1440	8.55	556	46	48.21	604.21	7.98	102.06	65.01	5.38	5.64	70.64	7.98	
9122	592	4/16/09 12:28	4/17/09 12:28	6.07	1440	8.74	467	84	88.03	555.03	15.86	93.76	53.45	9.61	10.08	63.53	15.86	
9124	592	4/16/09 12:28	4/17/09 12:28	7.07	1440	10.18	505	82	85.94	590.94	14.54	99.82	49.63	8.06	8.45	58.08	14.54	
									<b>Mean</b>	583.39	12.79	98.55		<b>Mean</b>	8.05	64.08	12.79	
									<b>Std Dev</b>	25.44	4.22	4.30		<b>Std Dev</b>	2.25	6.30	4.22	
									<b>CV</b>	0.04	0.33	0.04		<b>CV</b>	0.28	0.10	0.33	
9125	2628	4/16/09 12:17	4/17/09 12:17	6.41	1440	9.24	2208	149	156.16	2364.16	6.61	89.96	239.03	16.13	16.90	255.94	6.61	
9126	2628	4/16/09 12:17	4/17/09 12:17	5.84	1440	8.41	1974	222	232.66	2206.66	10.54	83.97	234.73	26.40	27.67	262.40	10.54	
8127	2628	4/16/09 12:23	4/17/09 12:23	6.90	1440	9.93	2124	192	201.22	2325.22	8.65	88.48	213.92	19.34	20.27	234.19	8.65	
									<b>Mean</b>	2298.68	8.60	87.47		<b>Mean</b>	21.61	250.84	8.60	
									<b>Std Dev</b>	82.03	1.97	3.12		<b>Std Dev</b>	5.51	14.78	1.97	
									<b>CV</b>	0.04	0.23	0.04		<b>CV</b>	0.25	0.06	0.23	

**Table H2. Potential Generation of CPF-oxon Field Study (cont.)**

ID Number	Spike Mass ng	Sampling Parameters					Recovery Mass					Air Concentration (ng/m <sup>3</sup> )					
		Start Date & Time	Stop Date & Time	TWA Flow rate lpm	Duration min	Volume m <sup>3</sup>	CPF ng	CPF-oxon ng	Oxon <sup>a</sup> Equiv ng	CPF Total ng	CPF-Oxon fraction (%)	Recovery (%)	CPF	CPF-oxon	Oxon Equiv <sup>a</sup>	CPF Total	CPF-oxon fraction (%)
<b>Quality Control</b>																	
<b>Trip Blanks closed Tube</b>																	
9141	0	4/16/09 13:00	4/16/09 13:00				<1	<1		<1							
9142	0	4/16/09 13:00	4/16/09 13:00				<1	<1		<1							
9143	0	4/16/09 13:00	4/16/09 13:00				<1	<1		<1							
9137	30	4/16/09 12:58	4/16/09 12:58				22	<1		22		73.33					
9138	30	4/16/09 12:59	4/16/09 12:59				22	<1		22		73.33					
									<b>Mean</b>	22.00		73.33					
									<b>Std Dev</b>	0.00		0.00					
									<b>CV</b>	0.00		0.00					
9139	592	4/16/09 12:56	4/16/09 12:56				519	<1		519		87.67					
9140	592	4/16/09 12:56	4/16/09 12:56				528	<1		528		89.19					
									<b>Mean</b>	523.50		88.43					
									<b>Std Dev</b>	6.36		1.07					
									<b>CV</b>	0.01		0.01					
<b>Uncapped, Open Tube (Hanging) Blanks</b>																	
<b>Receptor</b>																	
9128	0	4/16/09 12:09	4/17/09 12:09				<1	<1		<1							
9129	0	4/16/09 12:10	4/17/09 12:08				<1	<1		<1							
9130	0	4/16/09 12:13	4/17/09 12:08				<1	<1		<1							
9131	30	4/16/09 12:05	4/17/09 12:12				25	<1		25		83.33					
9132	30	4/16/09 12:04	4/17/09 12:11				22	<1		22		73.33					
9133	30	4/16/09 12:06	4/17/09 12:05				23	<1		23		76.67					
									<b>Mean</b>	23.33		77.78					
									<b>Std Dev</b>	1.53		5.09					
									<b>CV</b>	0.07		0.07					
<b>Perimeter</b>																	
9134	592	4/16/09 12:51	4/17/09 12:49				529	5	5.24	534.24	0.98	90.24					
9135	592	4/16/09 12:52	4/17/09 12:48				531	4	4.19	535.19	0.78	90.40					
9136	592	4/16/09 12:49	4/17/09 12:49				536	<1		536		90.54					
									<b>Mean</b>	535.14	0.88	90.40					
									<b>Std Dev</b>	0.88	0.14	0.15					
									<b>CV</b>	0.00	0.16	0.00					
<b>Footnotes</b>																	
<sup>2</sup> Tubes disconnected from sampling device during sampling. No lab data																	
<sup>3</sup> Oxon Equiv = CPF-oxon mass expressed as the molar equivalent of CPF. CPF-oxon equiv (ng) = [CPF-oxon ng] * Molecular Weight CPF/molecular weight CPF-oxon]																	